

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ļ	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/987,941	11/16/2001	Katarina Dahl		024444-983	7925	
7590 11/07/2003			[EXAMINER		
Ronald L. Grudziecki				CADUGAN, ERICA E		
P.O. Box 1404	IE, SWECKER & MATH	18, L.L.P.	ſ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA			3722			
		•	I	DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	3	
					17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/987,941	DAHL ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Erica E Cadugan	3722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datawase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 16e. The appropriate extension fee under			
b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th	е		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec		de de la Citada de Caracida de la Citada de Caracida d			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, same art rejection(s) as in the	final rejection mailed 5/14/03.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. ☐ Other:		Erica E Cadugan Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3722			

16

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/987,941





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to both claims 1 and 3 propose to enter the limitation "advancing the milling cutter relative to the material such that at least the majority of material removal is accomplished by contact with the milling insert". However, this limitation was not previously set forth in any claim, and as such, creates a new issue that would require at least further consideration, and one which would possibly require further search.

Regarding Applicant's remarks, to the extent that they are not directed to the limitation that creates a new issue, these remarks were previously addressed in the advisory action mailed 10/21/2003.